	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/070,450	PUTTER ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edna Wong	1753
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Edna Wong</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Derek Mason</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 December 2003	Time: <u>2:10 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
,		
Claims discussed:		
12,15,18,21 and 22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend claims 12,15,18,21 and 22. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Smawng		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
		• • • • •